

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/661,020	09/12/2003	Ruth L. Levy	K1084/20040	K1084/20040 6816	
56679	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
GOSZ AND PARTNERS, LLP			VANIK, DAVID L		
450 BEDFORD STREET LEXINGTON, MA 02420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	1615 DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/661,020	LEVY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David L. Vanik	1615		

	David E. Varine	10.0	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	•
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ig date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 6/30/2006. A brief in c date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replaced the AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	iny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		codusc
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims:	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	, • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / information	(
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	timely inca amenanic	one canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>48,49,52 and 53</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-47,56 and 57</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does-NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
	CARLOS A. AZPURU		

PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500 7/6/00

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examiner has carefully considered Applicant's claim amendments. As currently amended, the examiner respectfully submits that the current claim set raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Specifically, as currently presented, the instant claim 48 has been amended to include the limitations "acrylic polymer" and "linear polymeric biguanide." Neither of these limitations was present in the previously searched/examined claim set. As such, in order to determine the patentability of the claim set, a new search and examination would need to be undertaken.